

# Milnor Fibration Of Mixed Polynomials(II)

## The Bridge Between Real And Complex Singularity Theory

Ying Chen

Department of Mathematics  
HUST

June 22, 2021

# OUTLINE

---

## ① Motivation

# OUTLINE

---

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Resolution Of Mixed Singularities

# OUTLINE

---

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Resolution Of Mixed Singularities
- 3 Topology Of Mixed Curves

# OUTLINE

---

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Resolution Of Mixed Singularities
- 3 Topology Of Mixed Curves
- 4 Remarks and Questions

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Resolution Of Mixed Singularities
- 3 Topology Of Mixed Curves
- 4 Remarks and Questions

## CALCULATION OF MILNOR NUMBER

For a holomorphic polynomial germ  $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  with isolated singularities, the Milnor number of  $\mathbf{0}$  can be computed via the following formula

$$\mu(f) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} / \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right).$$

If we denote the Milnor fiber of  $f$  by  $F$ , then  $\mu(f) = \dim H_{n-1}(F)$ .

## CALCULATION OF MILNOR NUMBER

For a holomorphic polynomial germ  $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  with isolated singularities, the Milnor number of  $\mathbf{0}$  can be computed via the following formula

$$\mu(f) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} / \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right).$$

If we denote the Milnor fiber of  $f$  by  $F$ , then  $\mu(f) = \dim H_{n-1}(F)$ .

### Kouchnirenko's Formula for $n = 2$

If  $f : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  is non-degenerate and convenient, then

$$\mu(f) = 2S - a - b + 1$$

where  $S$  is the area of the compact polygon limited by  $\Gamma(f)$ ,  $(a, 0)$  and  $(0, b)$  are the end-points of  $\Gamma(f)$  on the coordinate axes.



## Problem

Can we resolve singularities of a strongly non-degenerate and convenient mixed polynomial?

# TORIC MODIFICATION OF MIXED SINGULARITY

## Problem

Can we resolve singularities of a strongly non-degenerate and convenient mixed polynomial?

[Oka, 2009] Consider the mixed curve  $V = \{z_1\bar{z}_1 - z_2^2 = 0\}$ . The toric modification is associated with the following regular fan generated by the vertices

$$\Sigma = \left\{ E_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, E_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

Take the cone  $\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then in  $\mathbb{C}_\sigma^2$ , the strict transformation  $\hat{V}$  is not transversal to the exceptional divisor  $E(P)$ .

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Resolution Of Mixed Singularities**
- 3 Topology Of Mixed Curves
- 4 Remarks and Questions

## BLOW UP IN $\mathbb{C}$

- (Real Blowing Up) Define  $\tau_R : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{RP}^1$  by

$$z = x + \sqrt{-1}y \mapsto (z, [x : y]).$$

Consider  $\mathbb{RC} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{\text{Im } \tau_R}$  with charts  $(U_0, (\tilde{x}, t))$  and  $(U_1, (\tilde{y}, s))$ .  
Note that  $\tilde{x} = x, t = \frac{y}{x}$  and  $\tilde{y} = y, s = \frac{x}{y}$ .

The canonical projection  $\omega_R : \mathbb{RC} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is

$$\omega_R(\tilde{x}, t) = \tilde{x}(1 + \sqrt{-1}t), \quad \omega_R(\tilde{y}, s) = \tilde{y}(1 + \sqrt{-1}s).$$

## BLOW UP IN $\mathbb{C}$

- (Real Blowing Up) Define  $\tau_R : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{RP}^1$  by

$$z = x + \sqrt{-1}y \mapsto (z, [x : y]).$$

Consider  $\mathbb{RC} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{\text{Im } \tau_R}$  with charts  $(U_0, (\tilde{x}, t))$  and  $(U_1, (\tilde{y}, s))$ .  
Note that  $\tilde{x} = x, t = \frac{y}{x}$  and  $\tilde{y} = y, s = \frac{x}{y}$ .

The canonical projection  $\omega_R : \mathbb{RC} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is

$$\omega_R(\tilde{x}, t) = \tilde{x}(1 + \sqrt{-1}t), \quad \omega_R(\tilde{y}, s) = \tilde{y}(1 + \sqrt{-1}s).$$

- (Polar Blowing Up) Define  $\tau_P : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \times S^1$  by

$$z = r \exp(i\theta) \mapsto (r, \exp(i\theta)).$$

Consider  $\mathbb{PC} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{R}^+ \times S^1$  with the canonical projection

$$\omega_P : \mathbb{PC} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \omega_P(r, \exp(i\theta)) = r \exp(i\theta).$$

## BLOWING UP ALONG EXCEPTION DIVISORS I

Given a  $n$ -dimensional complex manifold  $X$  with finite many smooth divisors  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  such that the union  $E = \cup_{i=1}^k E_i$  has at most normal crossing singularities, we use **real blowing up** on the normal **1-dimensional subspaces** of  $E_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . This process is called **normal real blowing up along divisors**. Let us denote it by  $\omega_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathbb{R}X \rightarrow X$ . Hence we have

- 1  $\mathbb{R}X$  is a smooth manifold and  $\omega_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathbb{R}X \setminus \omega_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(E) \rightarrow X \setminus E$  is a diffeomorphism.
- 2 For  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $\tilde{E}_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \omega_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(E_i)$  is a real codimension 1 variety. Let  $E_I \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \cap_{i \in I} E_i \setminus \cup_{j \notin I} E_j$  and  $\tilde{E}_I \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \omega_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(E_I)$ . Then  $\omega_{\mathbb{R}}| : \tilde{E}_I \rightarrow E_I$  is a fibration with fiber  $S^k$  for  $k = |I|$ .

## BLOWING UP ALONG EXCEPTION DIVISORS I

Given a  $n$ -dimensional complex manifold  $X$  with finite many smooth divisors  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  such that the union  $E = \cup_{i=1}^k E_i$  has at most normal crossing singularities, we use **real blowing up** on the normal **1-dimensional subspaces** of  $E_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . This process is called **normal real blowing up along divisors**. Let us denote it by  $\omega_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathbb{R}X \rightarrow X$ . Hence we have

- 1  $\mathbb{R}X$  is a smooth manifold and  $\omega_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathbb{R}X \setminus \omega_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(E) \rightarrow X \setminus E$  is a diffeomorphism.
- 2 For  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $\tilde{E}_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \omega_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(E_i)$  is a real codimension 1 variety. Let  $E_I \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \cap_{i \in I} E_i \setminus \cup_{j \notin I} E_j$  and  $\tilde{E}_I \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \omega_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(E_I)$ . Then  $\omega_{\mathbb{R}}| : \tilde{E}_I \rightarrow E_I$  is a fibration with fiber  $S^k$  for  $k = |I|$ .

☞ Choosing a local coordinate, can you describe  $\tilde{E}_I$ ?

## BLOWING UP ALONG EXCEPTION DIVISORS II

We can also consider normal polar blowing up along divisors which is denoted by  $\omega_P : PX \rightarrow X$ . Here  $PX$  is a smooth manifold with boundary.

Let us take  $Q \in E_1$  and choose a local coordinate  $(U, (u_1, \dots, u_n))$  such that  $E_1 = \{u_1 = 0\}$ . Then

$$\omega_P^{-1}(U) \cong \mathbb{P}\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$$

with coordinates  $(r_1, \exp(i\theta_1), u_2, \dots, u_n)$  satisfying

$$(r_1, \exp(i\theta_1), u_2, \dots, u_n) \mapsto (u_1, \dots, u_n),$$

where  $u_1 = r_1 \exp(i\theta_1)$ . Therefore  $\omega_P^{-1}(E_1)$  is given by  $\{r_1 = 0\}$ .



## BLOWING UP ALONG EXCEPTION DIVISORS II

We can also consider normal polar blowing up along divisors which is denoted by  $\omega_P : PX \rightarrow X$ . Here  $PX$  is a smooth manifold with boundary.

Let us take  $Q \in E_1$  and choose a local coordinate  $(U, (u_1, \dots, u_n))$  such that  $E_1 = \{u_1 = 0\}$ . Then

$$\omega_P^{-1}(U) \cong \mathbb{P}\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$$

with coordinates  $(r_1, \exp(i\theta_1), u_2, \dots, u_n)$  satisfying

$$(r_1, \exp(i\theta_1), u_2, \dots, u_n) \mapsto (u_1, \dots, u_n),$$

where  $u_1 = r_1 \exp(i\theta_1)$ . Therefore  $\omega_P^{-1}(E_1)$  is given by  $\{r_1 = 0\}$ .

☞ Find the relation between the two blowing up along divisors.

## GOOD RESOLUTION

---

Assume that  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \sum_{v, \mu} c_{v, \mu} \mathbf{z}^v \bar{\mathbf{z}}^\mu$  is a strongly non-degenerate and convenient mixed polynomial with  $c_{0,0} = 0$ .

## GOOD RESOLUTION

Assume that  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \sum_{v, \mu} c_{v, \mu} \mathbf{z}^v \bar{\mathbf{z}}^\mu$  is a strongly non-degenerate and convenient mixed polynomial with  $c_{0,0} = 0$ .

### Main Theorem

There exists a good resolution  $\Phi$ , such that the multiplicity of  $\Phi^*(f)$  along each exceptional divisors  $\tilde{E}(P)$  is  $d(P)$ .

## GOOD RESOLUTION

Assume that  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \sum_{v, \mu} c_{v, \mu} \mathbf{z}^v \bar{\mathbf{z}}^\mu$  is a strongly non-degenerate and convenient mixed polynomial with  $c_{0,0} = 0$ .

### Main Theorem

There exists a good resolution  $\Phi$ , such that the multiplicity of  $\Phi^*(f)$  along each exceptional divisors  $\tilde{E}(P)$  is  $d(P)$ .

Firstly, we take the toric modification  $\hat{\pi} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  associated with the **regular simplicial cone subdivision**  $\Sigma^*$  of dual Newton polyhedron  $\Gamma^*(f)$ . Let  $V^+$  be the set of strictly positive vertices in  $\Sigma^*$ . Then taking the polar blowing up along the exception divisors  $E = \cup E(P)$  for  $P \in V^+$ , we have

$$\Phi := \hat{\pi} \circ \omega_P : PX \xrightarrow{\omega_P} X \xrightarrow{\hat{\pi}} \mathbb{C}^n.$$

with  $\tilde{E}(P) := \omega_P^{-1}(E(P))$ . The map  $\Phi$  is the desired resolution.

## EXAMPLE

Let us consider ▶ Example.

Take the toric chart  $(u_1, u_2)$  of  $\mathbb{C}_\sigma^2$ . The strict transformation can be written as follows

$$\hat{V} = \{(u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{*2} \mid \bar{u}_1 - u_1 u_2^2 = 0\}$$

with the exceptional divisor  $E(P) = \{u_1 = 0\}$ .

By using polar blowing up, we have

$$\tilde{V} = \{(r_1, \theta_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{P}\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \mid u_2 \pm \exp(-i\theta_1) = 0\}$$

where  $\tilde{E}(P) = \{r_1 = 0\}$ . Note that the multiplicity of

$$\Phi^*(f) = r_1^2 [1 - \exp(2i\theta_1) u_2^2]$$

along  $\tilde{E}(P)$  is 2 which coincides with  $d(P)$ .

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Resolution Of Mixed Singularities
- 3 Topology Of Mixed Curves**
- 4 Remarks and Questions

## AN IMPORTANT EXAMPLE

Consider a family of mixed polynomials

$$f_t(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = z_1^3 + tz_1\bar{z}_1^2 - z_2^3$$

with  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $f_t$  is strongly non degenerate iff  $\|t\| \neq 1$ .

Denote the plane curve germ of  $C_t = V(f_t)$  by  $(C_t, 0)$  and the numbers of their link components by  $\text{lkn}(C_t, 0)$ .

- 1 For  $0 \leq \|t\| < 1$ , the link of  $(C_t, 0)$  are equivalent to the link of  $(C_0, 0)$ . In this case,  $\text{lkn}(C_t, 0) = 3$ .
- 2 For  $\|t\| > 1$ , the link of  $(C_t, 0)$  are equivalent to the link of  $(C', 0)$  for  $C' := \{z_1\bar{z}_1^2 - z_2^3 = 0\}$ . In this case,  $\text{lkn}(C_t, 0) = 1$ .

## AN IMPORTANT EXAMPLE

Consider a family of mixed polynomials

$$f_t(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = z_1^3 + tz_1\bar{z}_1^2 - z_2^3$$

with  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $f_t$  is strongly non degenerate iff  $\|t\| \neq 1$ .

Denote the plane curve germ of  $C_t = V(f_t)$  by  $(C_t, 0)$  and the numbers of their link components by  $\text{lkn}(C_t, 0)$ .

- 1 For  $0 \leq \|t\| < 1$ , the link of  $(C_t, 0)$  are equivalent to the link of  $(C_0, 0)$ . In this case,  $\text{lkn}(C_t, 0) = 3$ .
- 2 For  $\|t\| > 1$ , the link of  $(C_t, 0)$  are equivalent to the link of  $(C', 0)$  for  $C' := \{z_1\bar{z}_1^2 - z_2^3 = 0\}$ . In this case,  $\text{lkn}(C_t, 0) = 1$ .

☞ In holomorphic case, for a curve  $C$  defined by a non-degenerate convenient polynomial, the number of its link components  $\text{lkn}(C, 0)$  is completely determined by Newton boundary.



## CONDITIONS OF FACE FUNCTIONS

---

- A vertex  $A = (a, b) \in \Gamma(f)$  is called **simple** if the face function  $f_A$  contains only a single monomial  $z_1^{a_1} z_2^{b_1} z_1^{a_2} z_2^{b_2}$  with  $a = a_1 + a_2$  and  $b = b_1 + b_2$ . Otherwise,  $A$  is called a **multiple vertex**. In particular, we say  $\Gamma(f)$  is **simple** if all its vertices are simple.

## CONDITIONS OF FACE FUNCTIONS

- A vertex  $A = (a, b) \in \Gamma(f)$  is called **simple** if the face function  $f_A$  contains only a single monomial  $z_1^{a_1} z_2^{b_1} \bar{z}_1^{a_2} \bar{z}_2^{b_2}$  with  $a = a_1 + a_2$  and  $b = b_1 + b_2$ . Otherwise,  $A$  is called a **multiple vertex**. In particular, we say  $\Gamma(f)$  is **simple** if all its vertices are simple.
- For a monomial  $z_1^{a_1} z_2^{b_1} \bar{z}_1^{a_2} \bar{z}_2^{b_2}$  with  $a_1 + a_2 > 0$  and  $b_1 + b_2 > 0$ , we say it is **polar admissible** if  $a_1 \neq a_2$  and  $b_1 \neq b_2$ . In this case, the vertex  $A = (a, b)$  is not on the coordinate axe.

## CONDITIONS OF FACE FUNCTIONS

- A vertex  $A = (a, b) \in \Gamma(f)$  is called **simple** if the face function  $f_A$  contains only a single monomial  $z_1^{a_1} z_2^{b_1} \bar{z}_1^{a_2} \bar{z}_2^{b_2}$  with  $a = a_1 + a_2$  and  $b = b_1 + b_2$ . Otherwise,  $A$  is called a **multiple vertex**. In particular, we say  $\Gamma(f)$  is **simple** if all its vertices are simple.
- For a monomial  $z_1^{a_1} z_2^{b_1} \bar{z}_1^{a_2} \bar{z}_2^{b_2}$  with  $a_1 + a_2 > 0$  and  $b_1 + b_2 > 0$ , we say it is **polar admissible** if  $a_1 \neq a_2$  and  $b_1 \neq b_2$ . In this case, the vertex  $A = (a, b)$  is not on the coordinate axe.

### Remark 3.1

- ① Consider a good resolution  $\Phi$  for  $f$  of Main theorem. If a vertex  $A \in \Gamma(f)$  is simple, then  $\Phi^*(f)$  does not intersect with  $\tilde{E}(A)$ .
- ② The polar admissible monomial was introduced by M.Oka to investigate non-isolated mixed singularities under the **super non-degeneracy**.

## GOOD NEWTON POLAR BOUNDARY

For  $\Delta \in \Gamma(f)$ ,  $f_\Delta$  is called a **good polar weighted polynomial** if its polar weights coincide with its radial weights, and moreover

$$f_\Delta(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = c\mathbf{z}^m\bar{\mathbf{z}}^n \prod_{j=1}^k (z_2^a \bar{z}_2^{a'} - \lambda_j z_1^b \bar{z}_1^{b'})^{\mu_j} \quad (\dagger)$$

with  $a \neq a'$ ,  $b \neq b'$ ,  $\lambda_j \neq 0$  and  $\gcd(a, a', b, b') = 1$ . Note that  $f_\Delta$  is non-degenerate iff  $\mu_j = 1$ , for all  $1 \leq j \leq k$ .

If every face function is good polar weighted, then we say that  $f$  has a **good Newton polar boundary**.

## GOOD NEWTON POLAR BOUNDARY

For  $\Delta \in \Gamma(f)$ ,  $f_\Delta$  is called a **good polar weighted polynomial** if its polar weights coincide with its radial weights, and moreover

$$f_\Delta(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = c\mathbf{z}^m\bar{\mathbf{z}}^n \prod_{j=1}^k (z_2^a \bar{z}_2^{a'} - \lambda_j z_1^b \bar{z}_1^{b'})^{\mu_j} \quad (\dagger)$$

with  $a \neq a'$ ,  $b \neq b'$ ,  $\lambda_j \neq 0$  and  $\gcd(a, a', b, b') = 1$ . Note that  $f_\Delta$  is non-degenerate iff  $\mu_j = 1$ , for all  $1 \leq j \leq k$ .

If every face function is good polar weighted, then we say that  $f$  has a **good Newton polar boundary**.

### Remark 3.2

In the above factorization  $(\dagger)$ , we say that  $g_j = z_2^a \bar{z}_2^{a'} - \lambda_j z_1^b \bar{z}_1^{b'}$  is an **irreducible binomial polar weighted polynomial**.

## LINK COMPONENTS

Let  $f : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  be a mixed polynomial and  $C = f^{-1}(0)$ . We denote the number of link components which are not contained in the coordinate axes by  $\text{lkn}^*(C, 0)$ .

### Theorem D

Let  $f : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  be a strongly non-degenerate convenient polynomial. If  $\Gamma(f)$  is simple, then we have

$$\text{lkn}(C, 0) = \sum_{\Delta \in \mathcal{F}} \text{lkn}^*(f_{\Delta}^{-1}(0), 0)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the set of 1-faces of  $\Gamma(f)$ .

## LINK COMPONENTS

Let  $f : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  be a mixed polynomial and  $C = f^{-1}(0)$ . We denote the number of link components which are not contained in the coordinate axes by  $\text{lkn}^*(C, 0)$ .

### Theorem D

Let  $f : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  be a strongly non-degenerate convenient polynomial. If  $\Gamma(f)$  is simple, then we have

$$\text{lkn}(C, 0) = \sum_{\Delta \in \mathcal{F}} \text{lkn}^*(f_{\Delta}^{-1}(0), 0)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the set of 1-faces of  $\Gamma(f)$ .

Given a non-degenerate good polar weighted polynomial  $f_{\Delta}$  with the factorization  $(\dagger)$ , we have

$$\text{lkn}^*(f_{\Delta}^{-1}(0), 0) = \text{kgcd}(a - a', b - b').$$

## ZETA FUNCTION OF THE MONODROMY (I)

Consider a non-degenerate and convenient mixed polynomial  $f : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  which is polar weighted and radial weighted homogenous of radial type  $(q_1, q_2; d_r)$  and polar type  $(p_1, p_2; d_p)$ .

Let us denote the Milnor fibre of  $f$  by  $F$  and  $F^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F \cap \mathbb{C}^{*2}$ .

The monodromy map  $h : F^* \rightarrow F^*$  is

$$h(z_1, z_2) = (z_1 \omega^{p_1}, z_2 \omega^{p_2}), \quad \omega = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{d_p}\right).$$

Suppose that the face functions of the edge vertices in  $\Gamma(f)$  are  $z_1^{a_1} \|z_1\|^{2b_1}$  and  $z_2^{a_2} \|z_2\|^{2b_2}$ , the zeta function of  $h : F \rightarrow F$  is

$$\zeta(t) = \frac{(1 - t^{d_p})^{-\chi(F^*)/d_p}}{(1 - t^{a_1})(1 - t^{a_2})}, \quad ((*)$$

where  $\chi(F^*)$  is the Euler Characteristic of  $F^*$ .



## ZETA FUNCTION OF THE MONODROMY (II)

---

Let  $f : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  be a non-degenerate and convenient mixed polynomial and  $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_k$  be the 1-faces of  $\Gamma(f)$ . We assume

## ZETA FUNCTION OF THE MONODROMY (II)

Let  $f : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  be a non-degenerate and convenient mixed polynomial and  $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_k$  be the 1-faces of  $\Gamma(f)$ . We assume

### Assumption\*

- Every face function  $f_{\Delta_j}$  is *PWH* of type  $(p_{j1}, p_{j2}; d_{p_j})$ .
- the face functions of the simple vertices  $M_j = \Delta_j \cap \Delta_{j+1}$  are polar admissible for  $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ . Moreover, the monomials of the vertices on the coordinate axes are  $z_1^{a_1} \|z_1\|^{2b_1}$  and  $z_2^{a_2} \|z_2\|^{2b_2}$ .

## ZETA FUNCTION OF THE MONODROMY (II)

Let  $f : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  be a non-degenerate and convenient mixed polynomial and  $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_k$  be the 1-faces of  $\Gamma(f)$ . We assume

### Assumption\*

- Every face function  $f_{\Delta_j}$  is *PWH* of type  $(p_{j1}, p_{j2}; d_{p_j})$ .
- the face functions of the simple vertices  $M_j = \Delta_j \cap \Delta_{j+1}$  are polar admissible for  $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ . Moreover, the monomials of the vertices on the coordinate axes are  $z_1^{a_1} \|z_1\|^{2b_1}$  and  $z_2^{a_2} \|z_2\|^{2b_2}$ .

### Theorem E

Under *Assumption\**, the zeta function of  $h : F \rightarrow F$  is

$$\zeta(t) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^k (1 - t^{d_{p_j}})^{-\chi(F_j^*)/d_{p_j}}}{(1 - t^{a_1})(1 - t^{a_2})}.$$

## CONNECTIVITY OF FIBRE

### Connectivity Theorem(M.Oka, 2018)

Let  $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a mixed polynomial satisfying Milnor Conditions (A) and (B). Take a Milnor radius  $r_0$  and assume that there exists a mixed smooth point  $w \in V(f)$  with  $\|w\| < r_0$  and the sphere of radius  $\|w\|$  intersect  $V(f)$  transversely at  $w$ . If  $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{R}} V(f) = 2$ , then the Milnor fibre is connected.

## CONNECTIVITY OF FIBRE

### Connectivity Theorem(M.Oka, 2018)

Let  $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a mixed polynomial satisfying Milnor Conditions (A) and (B). Take a Milnor radius  $r_0$  and assume that there exists a mixed smooth point  $w \in V(f)$  with  $\|w\| < r_0$  and the sphere of radius  $\|w\|$  intersect  $V(f)$  transversely at  $w$ . If  $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{R}} V(f) = 2$ , then the Milnor fibre is connected.

### Remark 3.3

- Let  $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  be a strongly non-degenerate and convenient mixed polynomial. Then  $f$  has an isolated singularity at the origin, by above theorem, the Milnor fibre is connected.

## CONNECTIVITY OF FIBRE

### Connectivity Theorem(M.Oka, 2018)

Let  $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a mixed polynomial satisfying Milnor Conditions (A) and (B). Take a Milnor radius  $r_0$  and assume that there exists a mixed smooth point  $w \in V(f)$  with  $\|w\| < r_0$  and the sphere of radius  $\|w\|$  intersect  $V(f)$  transversely at  $w$ . If  $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{R}} V(f) = 2$ , then the Milnor fibre is connected.

### Remark 3.3

- Let  $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  be a strongly non-degenerate and convenient mixed polynomial. Then  $f$  has an isolated singularity at the origin, by above theorem, the Milnor fibre is connected.
- Let  $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  be a polar weighted homogenous mixed polynomial with  $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{R}} V(f) = 2$ . If we assume that  $V(f)$  has a smooth point, then the Milnor fibre is connected.

## EULER CHARACTERISTIC OF FIBRE

---

Let  $f_\Delta : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  be a non-degenerate and convenient mixed polynomial of polar type  $(p_1, p_2; d_p)$  with  $\Delta = \overline{AB}$ .

Suppose that the vertices  $A$  and  $B$  on the coordinate axes are simple and polar admissible. Denote the characteristic polynomials of the monodromy map for  $i$ -th homology group  $H_i(F_\Delta)$  by  $P_i(t)$ , where  $i = 0, 1$ . We have  $P_0(t) = 1 - t$  and  $\zeta(t) = P_0^{-1}(t)P_1(t)$ .

The Wang Sequence of Milnor fibration is

## EULER CHARACTERISTIC OF FIBRE

---

Let  $f_\Delta : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  be a non-degenerate and convenient mixed polynomial of polar type  $(p_1, p_2; d_p)$  with  $\Delta = \overline{AB}$ .

Suppose that the vertices  $A$  and  $B$  on the coordinate axes are simple and polar admissible. Denote the characteristic polynomials of the monodromy map for  $i$ -th homology group  $H_i(F_\Delta)$  by  $P_i(t)$ , where  $i = 0, 1$ . We have  $P_0(t) = 1 - t$  and  $\zeta(t) = P_0^{-1}(t)P_1(t)$ .

The Wang Sequence of Milnor fibration is

$$0 \rightarrow H_2(S_\varepsilon^3 \setminus K_\varepsilon) \rightarrow H_1(F_\Delta) \xrightarrow{h_* - id} H_1(F_\Delta) \rightarrow H_1(S_\varepsilon^3 \setminus K_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$



## EULER CHARACTERISTIC OF FIBRE

Let  $f_\Delta : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  be a non-degenerate and convenient mixed polynomial of polar type  $(p_1, p_2; d_p)$  with  $\Delta = \overline{AB}$ .

Suppose that the vertices  $A$  and  $B$  on the coordinate axes are simple and polar admissible. Denote the characteristic polynomials of the monodromy map for  $i$ -th homology group  $H_i(F_\Delta)$  by  $P_i(t)$ , where  $i = 0, 1$ . We have  $P_0(t) = 1 - t$  and  $\zeta(t) = P_0^{-1}(t)P_1(t)$ .

The Wang Sequence of Milnor fibration is

$$0 \rightarrow H_2(S_\varepsilon^3 \setminus K_\varepsilon) \rightarrow H_1(F_\Delta) \xrightarrow{h_* - id} H_1(F_\Delta) \rightarrow H_1(S_\varepsilon^3 \setminus K_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

Write  $l_\Delta = \text{lkn}^*(f_\Delta^{-1}(0))$  and  $\mu_\Delta$  for the multiplicity of factor  $(1 - t)$  in  $P_1(t)$ . Then by using formular  $(*)$ , we have

$$\mu_\Delta = l_\Delta - 1 = -\chi(F_\Delta^*)/d_p - 1.$$

# KOUCHNIRENKO'S FORMULA

## Kouchnirenko's Formula for mixed curves

Assume that  $f : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  is a non-degenerate convenient mixed polynomial satisfying **Assumption\***. Write  $l_j = \text{lkn}^*(f_{\Delta_j}^{-1}(0))$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . Then the Milnor number  $\mu(f)$  is

$$\mu(f) = \sum_{j=1}^k l_j d_{p_j} - a_1 - a_2 + 1.$$

# KOUCHNIRENKO'S FORMULA

## Kouchnirenko's Formula for mixed curves

Assume that  $f : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  is a non-degenerate convenient mixed polynomial satisfying **Assumption\***. Write  $l_j = \text{lkn}^*(f_{\Delta_j}^{-1}(0))$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . Then the Milnor number  $\mu(f)$  is

$$\mu(f) = \sum_{j=1}^k l_j d_{p_j} - a_1 - a_2 + 1.$$

## Example

Let  $f = z_1^3 \bar{z}_1 + z_2^2 \bar{z}_2$ . Then  $f$  is a non-degenerate convenient mixed polynomial of polar type  $(1,2;2)$ . Here  $a_1 = 2$ ,  $a_2 = 1$  and  $\text{lkn}^*(f^{-1}(0)) = 2$ . By using above formula, we have  $\mu(f) = 2$ . In fact, the Milnor fibre of  $F$  is homotopic equivalent to  $S^1 \vee S^1$ .

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Resolution Of Mixed Singularities
- 3 Topology Of Mixed Curves
- 4 Remarks and Questions**

## Comment

- ① Theorem E also holds true for non-convenient case. If there is no end vertice on the coordinate axe  $z_1$ , then we can eliminate the term  $(1 - t^{a_1})$  in the Zeta function.
- ② In higher dimension, M.Oka generalize the formula of Zeta function for non-degenerate convenient mixed functions of strongly polar weighted homogeneous face type.

## Comment

- ① Theorem E also holds true for non-convenient case. If there is no end vertex on the coordinate axe  $z_1$ , then we can eliminate the term  $(1 - t^{a_1})$  in the Zeta function.
- ② In higher dimension, M.Oka generalize the formula of Zeta function for non-degenerate convenient mixed functions of **strongly polar weighted homogeneous face type**.

## Problem

- Under the hypothesis of Connectivity Theorem, can we obtain the homotpy type of the Milnor fibre?

## Comment

- ① Theorem E also holds true for non-convenient case. If there is no end vertex on the coordinate axe  $z_1$ , then we can eliminate the term  $(1 - t^{a_1})$  in the Zeta function.
- ② In higher dimension, M.Oka generalize the formula of Zeta function for non-degenerate convenient mixed functions of **strongly polar weighted homogeneous face type**.

## Problem

- Under the hypothesis of Connectivity Theorem, can we obtain the homotpic type of the Milnor fibre?
- Can we computer the Milnor number for degenerate mixed polynomials with isolated singularities?

## Comment

- ① Theorem E also holds true for non-convenient case. If there is no end vertice on the coordinate axe  $z_1$ , then we can eliminate the term  $(1 - t^{a_1})$  in the Zeta function.
- ② In higher dimension, M.Oka generalize the formula of Zeta function for non-degenerate convenient mixed functions of **strongly polar weighted homogeneous face type**.

## Problem

- Under the hypothesis of Connectivity Theorem, can we obtain the homotpic type of the Milnor fibre?
- Can we computer the Milnor number for degenerate mixed polynomials with isolated singularities?
- For a strongly non-degenerate convenient mixed polynomial in global sense, can we resolve the singularity at infinity?



**Thanks!**